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## Company Overview

## Company Offerings

> Aritzia is a Canadian-based design house and fashion retailer focused on providing every-day wear, work wear, and athletic wear to women ages 15 and 45 .

> They exist in the Everyday Luxury space:


| Financial Snapshot |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| Revenue | 743 | 874 | 981 | 857 | 1,495 |
| Gross Profit | 295 | 343 | 403 | 313 | 655 |
| Operating Profit | 94 | 116 | 152 | 51 | 236 |
| Net Income | 57 | 79 | 91 | 19 | 157 |

## Company \& Industry

## What Industry is Aritzia in?

$>$ Aritzia competes in the women's apparel industry. It faces a wide range of competition from lower-end players and luxury brands (Walmart to H\&M to Zara).
> Apparel Retail is a notoriously tough industry countless brands that were once in style are now irrelevant, (Abercrombie \& Fitch, American Eagle, Urban Outfitters, etc.)
> Companies have no sustainable competitive advantage that allows them to consistently earn high ROIC

Where's the Industry Headed?
U.S. Womens' Apparel Sales


## Who does Aritzia Compete With?

## \ggg Zara is higher-end, more diverse, less trend-based retailer.

> Lulu focuses on athletic apparel at a premium price. One of the best retail performers of the decade.

$>\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{M}$ is slightly lower end and focused on both men and women's clothing.

## Situation Overview

Poor earnings and business quality concerns caused investor sell-off


## Situation Overview

Sell-side's short term view creates an attractive investment opportunity

## Do we believe current issues are short-term?

## Sell Side View

- Margins will fail to recover from inventory de-stocking and warehouse costs
- ATZ will face difficulty recovering sales with macro affecting consumer demand
- Fear of a 'fad' business that over-earned and will gradually lose wallet share

Conviction in LT Business Quality?
Clear store expansion path in the U.S.

Industry leading unit economics

Opportunity to fully develop eCommerce

Brand durability is underappreciated

## Our View

- Inventory situation is transitory and will subdue in the near-term
- Macro environment and consumer demand will eventually normalize
- ATZ historical success is not fad-driven with several brand execution levers


## Time Arbitrage Opportunity


Institutional and retail investors sell off stock due
to depressed short term outlook
We have conviction that long term business fundamentals will be strong

Opportunity created through time horizon
IAG's investment philosophy is to buy high quality companies that are trading at attractive prices
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Thesis 1

Brand Durability

Stern IIAG

House of Brands + Marketing + Store Planning + Sales Associates + Management = Revenue Growth

## House of Brands

> In Consumer Discretionary companies, the ability to shift product mix to match changes in consumers' tastes is important.
> Aritzia has a House of Brands

$>$ Aritzia is very fluid with their product mix, but always runs all brands.
$>$ During the COVID Pandemic...

```
Wilfred
```



Tna
$>$ During the Back-to-Office era...

## Marketing

> Marketing drives market share growth and brand loyalty by enticing new customers to purchase and old customers to purchase more
$>$ Aritzia has achieved consistent resonation with their core target market through:
> Compelling social media posts that tell the brand's stories
$>$ Emphases on user-generated content
> Notable influencer collaborations


■ Engagement Rates

House of Brands + Marketing + Store Planning + Sales Associates + Management = Revenue Growth

## Store Planning

> Brick \& Mortar: store location and design is key when trying to attract more foot traffic and increase sales per sq ft.
$>$ Aritzia puts an emphasis on store layout and customer experience...
> Semi-public changing rooms
> Comfortable waiting spaces--the famous "Aritzia couches"

$>$ As well as the placement of the stores, which are always in AAA real-estate
> Aritzia has signed the most expensive leases in NYC and Chicago!

## Sales Associate Structure

> Work culture is important for maximizing efficacy of sales personnel and sales per sq ft.
> Aritzia's sales associate structure is unique
$>$ Associates are paid mostly through commission: base salaries are comparatively low
$>$ Associates are forced to requalify for commission every 6 months
> This leads to a cutthroat, sales associate culture that allows Aritzia to have industry leading sales per square foot

Associates can make $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}+$ ... and become the CEO
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House of Brands + Marketing + Store Planning + Sales Associates + Management = Revenue Growth


House of Brands + Marketing + Store Planning + Sales Associates + Management = Revenue Growth

## Superb Key KPIs

> Strong consistent store openings:
Aritzia Boutiques


- Canada mus
>With tons of white space to grow into, while competitors have hit a ceiling with value generated per new store.
> Zara:3000 stores
> Urban Outfitters: 700 stores


## > Brand Awareness \& Prestige:

| Average Ranking $($ Max $=7)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brand | Quality | Trendiness | $\frac{\text { Total }}{}$ | Average |
| Aritzia | 3.04 | 2.95 | 5.99 | 3.00 |
| Reformation | 3.36 | 3.43 | 6.79 | 3.40 |
| Abercrombie | 4.46 | 4.04 | 8.5 | 4.25 |
| Free People | 4.17 | 4.34 | 8.51 | 4.26 |
| Anthropologie | 3.99 | 4.74 | 8.73 | 4.37 |
| Revolve | 4.59 | 4.18 | 8.77 | 4.39 |
| Urban Outfitters | 5.34 | 4.12 | 9.46 | 4.73 |

## Top-Line Growth

> Best-in industry SSS: \$23M yearly sales per store, average growth of $36.7 \%$ per quarter in 2022
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House of Brands + Marketing + Store Planning + Sales Associates + Management = Revenue Growth

## Strong Store Unit Economics

> Store Expansion Plan: Aritzia guides for 150 stores by ' 27 , meaning an addition of 8 -10 stores per year
$>$ Aritzia has phenomenal store unit economics:

|  | New Store | Store <br> Expansion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated 1 Year Contribution | Sales/Sq. Ft. 1000 <br> Total Sq. Ft 6000 <br> Revenue 6000000 | Sales/Sq. Ft. 1000 <br> Total Sq. Ft 3500 <br> Revenue 3500000 |
| Estimated Net Investment | 2500000 | 2000000 |
| Average Payback | 24 Months | 24 Months |

> Physical store growth also translates into e-commerce revenue growth!
> New physical stores boost e-commerce sales in that locality by $80 \%+$

## Strong Future Top-Line Projections

> According to BofA, 73\% plan to purchase the same amount or more, and $27 \%$ plan to purchase less.

Changes in Purchasing Intent


## Thesis 2: Clear Path to Sales Target

Expansion in U.S. will dispel investor growth concerns


## Thesis 2: Clear Path to Sales Target

```
eCommerce Is Underdeveloped
```

Only 35\% of LTM revenue came online, lagging industry average of $45 \%$

Ex-CEO Brian Hill's employed conservative approach to digital platforms
eComm attracts online buyers, increasing customer stickiness and sales volume


Catalysts for eCommerce Growth

## CEO Jennifer Wong

- Wong pushing eCommerce towards 45\% of Long-Term Revenue
- Already built eCommerce 2.0 platform


| Store Expansion <br> - Opening first store in city drives Google searches up 2-3x <br> - $80 \%$ local eCommerce impact |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

eCommerce \% of Sales
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## Thesis 2: Clear Path to Sales Target



## Thesis 3: Margin Expansion (Cont.)

We view a number of the factors that are temporarily depressing margins as transitory.

| Why Are Margins Compressed? |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Margin Analysis for Q1 2023 |  |
| Pricing Pressure + Inflation | $-3.0 \%$ |
| Warehousing Expenses | $-2.0 \%$ |
| Markdown Normalization | $-2.0 \%$ |
| Pre-Opening Lease Amortization | $-1.0 \%$ |
| Airfreight Rates Tailwind | $+2.0 \%$ |
| Back Office Labour Invetsments | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Retail Labour Investments | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Distribution Center Excess Spending | $-1.0 \%$ |
| Net Impact | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Need to destock didn't allow ATZ to push costs onto consumers.
$>$ Storing excess inventory costs more than usual.
$>$ ATZ grew back-office labor to facilitate growth.
$\Rightarrow$ ATZ's own distribution center isn't fully operational
 yet, so they are spending on a 3Ps

## Thesis 3: Margin Expansion



## Operating Leverage 101

What are fixed costs and what are variable costs?
Fixed costs don't change as the number of units sold increases. Variable costs increase with volume.
What are the fixed and variable costs for a theme park ride? What's larger, fixed or variable costs
Fixed costs $=$ initial construction expenses. Variable costs $=$ labor as well as the maintenance expenses.
What happens if no one goes on the ride in the first year?

| Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of Rides | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Price per Ride | 10 |
| Total Revenue | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Fixed Costs | 50 |
| Variable Costs | 0 |
| Operating Profit | $\mathbf{- 5 0}$ |

What happens to operating profit growth when the number of rides start increasing?

| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Rides | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Price per Ride | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Total Revenue | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ |
| Growtlı\% |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $50 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 3} \%$ |
| Fixed Costs | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Margin\% |  | $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3} \%$ |
| Variable Costs | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| Margin\% |  | $\mathbf{1 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \%$ |
| Operating Profit | $\mathbf{- 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ |
| Growtlı $\%$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 2 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 1} \%$ |

## Thesis 3: Margin Expansion (cont.)

## Better Lease Terms and Lower Freight to drive Margin Expansion

> Better lease terms as ATZ stores become 'anchors'
> Rent terms should converge to competitor levels
$+100-150$ bps
EBITDA margin

| Rent Expense Calculations (ex '21 \& '22) | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aritzia Rent/Sq. Ft | 168 | 160 | 162 | 151 | 144 |
| Lululemon Rent/Sq. Ft | 96 | 98 | 94 | 74 | 73 |
| Abercrombie \& Fitch Rent/Sq. Ft | 60 | 54 | 56 | 77 | 79 |
| Ralph Lauren Rent/Sq. Ft | 124 | 117 | 112 | 157 | 157 |



## Catalysts \& Risks

What Will Help/Hurt Our Theses From Being Realized?


## Valuation

## Foundational Principles - Multiples

## What is a Valuation Multiple?

A multiple is essentially the price you're paying for an asset benchmarked to an underlying business KPI (Key Performance Indicator!).

Multiple $=\frac{\text { What you are paying for an asset }}{\text { What you are getting from the firm }}$
Our Multiple of Choice: Enterprise Value / Adjusted EBITDA (EV/EBITDA)
Enterprise Value $=$ Net Debt + Market Capitalization
Adjusted EBITDA $=$ Revenue - COGS - SGEAA + DEA + One-Time Costs (Cash Flow Estimate)

## Valuation: Predicting the Future

1. Project out ATZ's future EBITDA (approx. cash flow)
2. Assign an EV/EBITDA multiple to that future profit number
3. Subtract out net debt to figure out future market cap.

Future EBITDA = Future Sales * EBITDA Margin

Future EV $=$ Future EBITDA * Assigned Multiple

Future Market Cap = Future EV - Net Debt

## Valuation

Revenue Build - U.S.


Stern

## Valuation

## Revenue Build - Canada



Stern

## Valuation

## Operating Build

| Operating Build | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 731.00 | 675.00 | 1,173.10 | 1,612.30 | 1,709.51 | 1,954.95 | 2,202.98 | 2,468.83 |
| Growth (\%) |  | -7.7\% | 73.8\% | 37.4\% | 6.0\% | 14.4\% | 12.7\% | 12.1\% |
| COGS | (430.2) | (429.0) | (659.0) | (941.1) | $(1,094.1)$ | $(1,212.1)$ | $(1,321.8)$ | $(1,456.6)$ |
| Gross Profit | 300.77 | 246.02 | 514.06 | 671.16 | 615.43 | 742.88 | 881.19 | 1,012.22 |
| GP Margin (\%) | 41.1\% | 36.4\% | 43.8\% | 41.6\% | 36.0\% | 38.0\% | 40.0\% | 41.0\% |
| Sq. Ft (000s) | 576.00 | 606.00 | 742.00 | 912.00 | 1,003.20 | 1,103.52 | 1,213.87 | 1,335.26 |
| Growth (\%) | 5.5\% | 5.2\% | 22.4\% | 22.9\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Rent/Sq. Ft | N/A | N/A | 151.42 | 143.50 | 139.20 | 135.02 | 130.97 | 127.04 |
| Growth (\%) | N/A | N/A | N/A | -5.2\% | -3\% | -3\% | -3\% | -3\% |
| Rent Expense | N/A | N/A | (112.36) | (130.88) | (139.64) | (149.00) | (158.98) | (169.64) |
| \% of Revenue | N/A | N/A | 9.6\% | 8.1\% | 8.2\% | 7.6\% | 7.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Other SG\&A | (181.41) | (197.42) | (195.94) | (311.53) | (358.26) | (394.09) | (421.67) | (442.76) |
| \% of Revenue | 24.8\% | 29.2\% | 16.7\% | 19.3\% | 21.0\% ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 20.2\% | 19.1\% | 17.9\% |
| Growth (\%) | 144.8\% | 8.8\% | -0.7\% | 59.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.0\% |
| Cost Savings Adjustment |  |  |  |  | 30.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 | 65.00 |
| SBC | (5.81) | (8.42) | (20.51) | (17.89) | (20.51) | (23.46) | (26.44) | (29.63) |
| \% of Revenue | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% |
| Other Income/expense-net | 1.63 | 2.78 | 6.89 | 5.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \% of Revenue | -0.2\% | -0.4\% | -0.6\% | -0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Finance Expenses | (21.11) | (22.38) | (19.78) | (22.96) | (24.10) | (25.31) | (26.58) | (27.90) |
| Growth (\%) | 480.7\% | 6.0\% | -11.6\% | 16.1\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| EBT | 94.07 | 20.59 | 172.36 | 193.72 | 102.90 | 216.03 | 312.53 | 407.30 |
| EBT Margin (\%) | 12.9\% | 3.1\% | 14.7\% | 12.0\% | 6.0\% | 11.1\% | 14.2\% | 16.5\% |
| Provision for Income Tax | (26.50) | (5.49) | (49.20) | (55.97) | (29.33) | (60.49) | (85.94) | (109.97) |
| Implied Tax Rate (\%) | 28.2\% | 26.7\% | 28.5\% | 28.9\% | 28.5\% | 28.0\% | 27.5\% | 27.0\% |
| Net Income | 67.58 | 15.10 | 123.16 | 137.75 | 73.57 | 155.54 | 226.58 | 297.33 |
| Share Outstanding - Diluted | 112.128 | 112.844 | 115.784 | 115.301 | 115.301 | 115.301 | 115.301 | 115.301 |
| EPS | 0.60 | 0.13 | 1.06 | 1.19 | 0.64 | 1.35 | 1.97 | 2.58 |
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## Valuation

## Final Pieces!

| Adjusted EBITDA Bridge | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net Income | 94.07 | 20.59 | 172.36 | 193.72 | 73.57 | 155.54 | 226.58 | 297.33 |
| D\&A | 25.66 | 30.61 | 34.98 | 38.81 | 42.74 | 48.87 | 55.07 | 61.72 |
| \% of Revenue | $3.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Depr on Right-Of-Use Assets | 44.04 | 52.19 | 53.42 | 59.51 | 65.47 | 72.01 | 79.21 | 87.14 |
| Growth (\%) |  | $18.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Finance Expense | 21.11 | 22.38 | 19.78 | 22.96 | 24.10 | 25.31 | 26.58 | 27.90 |
| Income Tax Expense | 26.50 | 5.49 | 49.20 | 55.97 | 29.33 | 60.49 | 85.94 | 109.97 |
| SBC | 5.81 | 8.42 | 20.51 | 17.89 | 20.51 | 23.46 | 26.44 | 29.63 |
| Depr of RoU Assets | $(44.04)$ | $(52.19)$ | $(53.42)$ | $(59.51)$ | $(65.47)$ | $(72.01)$ | $(79.21)$ | $(87.14)$ |
| Interest Exp on Lease Liabilities | $(23.76)$ | $(23.67)$ | $(22.35)$ | $(27.34)$ | $(29.33)$ | $(31.29)$ | $(33.39)$ | $(35.62)$ |
| $\quad$ \% of Rent Expense |  |  | $19.9 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| Rent Impact from IFRS Leases | $(67.80)$ | $(75.86)$ | $(75.76)$ | $(86.85)$ | $(94.79)$ | $(103.30)$ | $(112.60)$ | $(122.76)$ |
| CYC Integration and Acquisition Costs |  |  | $(25.55)$ | $(4.13)$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EBITDA | $\mathbf{1 4 3 . 6 1}$ | 55.62 | 228.48 | 280.13 | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 9 . 0 4}$ | 360.91 | $\mathbf{4 6 1 . 4 3}$ |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | $19.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |


| Quick Valuation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FY27 EBITDA | 461.4 |
| FY27 Multiple | 12.00 x |
| Implied EV | $5,537.1$ |
| $(+)$ Net Cash | 26.3 |
| Implied QV | $5,563.5$ |
| Shares Outstandin¢ | 115.301 |
| Implied Share Price | $\$ 48.25$ |
| Current QV | $2,746.0$ |
| Implied IRR | $22.4 \%$ |


| What is IRR? |
| :---: |
| $I R R=$ discount rate to make the NPV of a project zero <br> $I R R: \frac{C F_{1}}{(1+I R R)}+\frac{C F_{2}}{(1+I R R)^{2}}+\frac{C F_{2}}{(1+I R R)^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{C F_{n}}{(1+I R R)^{n}}=0$ <br> Think of it as the annual rate you earn on an investment! |
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Investment Thesis
Catalysts \& Risks


## A Fashion Disaster?

Let's Hear From Someone With Real Style...

## Devil's Advocate: The Short on Aritzia

Devil's Advocate

## What Could Go Wrong?

Competitive Dynamics


True Margin Potential
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